Appendix as a supplementary on-line material - for child-friendly court paper

Table A Mean table, child friendliness. 1= strongly disagree, 5=strongly agree. Mean values, std. deviation and N by country (*including Norwegian lay judges)

		We would like to hear your opinions about the conduct of care proceedings in your country								
		in terms of its 'child friendliness' in courts. Please consider the following statements:								
		a. children's right to express their views is followed well in my country's (state's) court system	b. care order proceedings are conducted in a child-sensitive time frame	c. the courts offer a child friendly environme nt	d. the courts use child- friendly language	e. evidence and statements by children are collected in a child-friendly manner	f. children's rights serve as the paramount frame for our decision making in care order proceedings			
England	Mean	3,7963	4,0000	2,1961	2,3200	3,8077	4,4681			
	Std. Dev.	,97863	,77703	,74886	,86756	,88647	,95214			
	N	54	54	51	50	52	47			
Finland	Mean	4,0000	2,7419	2,5538	3,3968	3,4844	3,8197			
	Std. Dev.	,93541	1,10045	,98474	,97616	,90838	1,02483			
	N	65	62	65	63	64	61			
Norway	Mean	3,6955	3,2659	3,0174	3,0200	3,5969	4,0721			
	Std. Dev.	,90717	,95941	,93575	,93330	,80413	,87118			
	N	1271	1256	1210	1199	1238	1290			
USA	Mean	4,4865	3,5000	3,5405	3,6486	3,7297	3,9118			
	Std. Dev.	,65071	,94112	1,14491	1,15989	,87078	,96508			
	N	37	36	37	37	37	34			
Total	Mean	3,7337	3,2770	2,9787	3,0289	3,6032	4,0705			
	Std. Dev.	,91535	,97589	,95891	,95751	,81486	,88700			
	N	1427	1408	1363	1349	1391	1432			

Table B. Differences between countries on Q9: "We would like to hear your opinions about the conduct of care proceedings in your country in terms of its 'child friendliness' in courts. Please consider the following statements:"

	a. children's	b. ()	c. the courts	d. ()	e. evidence	f. children's
	right to	child-	offer a child	child-	and	rights serve
	express their	sensitive	friendly	friendly	statements	as the
	views ()	time frame	environment	language	() in a	paramount
					child-friendly	frame ()
					manner	
	Asymp. Sig.	Asymp.	Asymp. Sig. (2-	Asymp. Sig.	Asymp. Sig.	Asymp. Sig.
	(2-tailed)	Sig. (2-	tailed)	(2-tailed)	(2-tailed)	(2-tailed)
		tailed)				
England vs.	,225	,000***	,053	,000***	,067	,000***
Finland						

England vs. Norway	,357	,000***	,000***	,000***	,413	,000***
England vs. USA	,000***	,012	,000***	,000***	,716	,002***
Finland vs. Norway	,004***	,273	,136	,000***	,069	,081
Finland vs. USA	,007***	,001***	,000***	,193	,171	,707
Norway vs. USA	,000***	,000***	,000***	,000***	,786	,357

Table C. Mean table, child friendliness. 1= strongly disagree, 5=strongly agree. Mean values and n, by country and judge type.

We would like to hear your opinions about the conduct of care proceedings in your country in terms of its 'child friendliness' in courts. Please consider the following statements:									
cima irien	a. children's b a c child d child- e. evidence f. childre								
				child-		friendly		rights	
			express their		environment	,	statements	rigiits	
			views	time frame	environment	language			
			views	time manne					
	Judge	Mean	3,9143	3,9714	2,1212	2,2121	3,8286	4,4375	
		N	35	35	33	33	35	32	
*	Layperson/m	Mean	3,5789	4,0526	2,3333	2,5294	3,7647	4,5333	
England	agistrate	N	19	19	18	17	17	15	
		Mean	3,7963	4,0000	2,1961	2,3200	3,8077	4,4681	
	Total	N	54	54	51	50	52	47	
	Judge	Mean	4,1786	3,0370	2,8571	3,4815	3,6071	3,8846	
		N	28	27	28	27	28	26	
	Expert	Mean	3,7667	2,4138	2,2667	3,1724	3,2069	3,6897	
		N	30	29	30	29	29	29	
** Finland	Legal advisor/	Mean	4,2857	3,0000	2,5714	4,0000	4,1429	4,1667	
	assistant judge	N	7	6	7	7	7	6	
	,	Mean	4,0000	2,7419	2,5538	3,3968	3,4844	3,8197	
	Total	N	65	62	65	63	64	61	
	Judge	Mean	4,0250	2,7250	2,6176	2,6765	3,9250	4,2250	
		N	40	40	34	34	40	40	
	Expert	Mean	3,6375	2,9095	2,7348	2,8696	3,6807	4,0591	
***		N	240	232	230	230	238	237	
Norway	Lay Judges	Men	3,6963	3,3720	3,1004	3,0695	3,5625	4,0691	
•	, ,	N	991	984	946	935	960	1013	
		Mean	3,7862	3,002	2,8176	2,8718	3,7227	4,1177	
	Total	N	1271	1256	1210	1199	1238	1290	
	Judge	Mean	4,4865	3,5000	3,5405	3,6486	3,7297	3,9118	
		N	37	36	37	37	37	34	
USA		Mean	4,4865	3,5000	3,5405	3,6486	3,7297	3,9118	
	Total	N	37	36	37	37	37	34	
	Judge	Mean	4,1500	3,3043	2,8030	3,0000	3,7857	4,1288	
		N	140	138	132	131	140	132	
	Expert	Mean	3,6519	2,8544	2,6808	2,9035	3,6292	4,0188	
Total	•	N	270	261	260	259	267	266	

Layperson/n	Mean	3,5789	4,0526	2,3333	2,5294	3,7647	4,5333
agistrate	N	19	19	18	17	17	15
Legal advisor/	Mean	4,2857	3,0000	2,5714	4,0000	4,1429	4,1667
assistant judge	N	7	6	7	7	7	6
	Mean	3,8188	3,0566	2,7026	2,9372	3,6937	4,0740
Total	N	436	424	417	414	431	419

Testing for significant difference at 1% level between decisions within each country for statements a.-f. (using Zigne, a data tool that facilitate testing of significance within and between samples. We used a two-tailed-test. The data tool is available at: https://www.sv.uio.no/isv/forskning/prosjekter/valgforskning/zigne-signifikanstesting.html (accessed October 10th 2017).

*England:

• No significant difference between Judge and Lay person

**Finland:

- No significant difference between Judge and Legal Advisor
- No significant difference between Judge and Expert
- No significant difference between Expert and Legal Advisor
- 5 % between: Judge and Expert for question b.; Legal advisor and Expert on question e.

***Norway:

- Significant difference between Judge and Expert for statement a.
- Significant difference between Judge and Lay person for statement b./c./e.
- Significant difference between Expert and Lay person for statement b./c./d.