Preventive chemotherapy for Schistosomiasis

Authors: Kaur G, Ahmed S, Watkins D, Coates MM, Økland JM, Haaland ØA,

Johansson KA

Date: 2021-08-13,2021-12-02

Description of condition and intervention

Schistosomiasis infection is acquired through coming in contact with parasitic blood flukes known as schistosomes. This infection may lead to chronic morbidity and prevalent in poor communities with difficult access to potable water and adequate sanitation, especially in tropical and sub-tropical areas. Schistosomiasis affects about 240 million people globally. Preventive chemotherapy targeting this infection is an alternative to control schistosomiasis especially in highly endemic area. (WHO 2021)

We assess the effect and cost of preventive chemotherapy for schistosomiasis in this evidence brief.

International guidelines

| Organization | Indications/recommendations | |
|--------------|-----------------------------|--|
| | <u>Schistosomiasis</u> | |

Intervention attributes

Type of interventions

Curative

Delivery platform

This intervention may be delivered at the community level.

EVIDENCE BRIEF

Preventive chemotherapy for

schistosomiasis (DCP4 ID: NTD02-01)

Cluster: Neglected Tropical Diseases

Equity

In addition to considerations like cost-effectiveness and health systems factors, dimensions of

FairChoices

DCP Analytic Tool

equity can be relevant for priority setting. The opportunity for a long and healthy life varies

according to the severity of a health condition that individuals might have, so there are

inequities in individuals' opportunities for long and healthy lives based on the health

conditions they face. Metrics used to estimate the severity of illness at an individual level can

be used to help prioritize those with less opportunity for lifetime health. FairChoices: DCP

Analytics Tool uses Health adjusted age of death (HAAD), which is a metric that estimates the

number of years lived from birth to death, discounting years lived with disability. A high HAAD

thus represents a disease less severe in terms of lifetime health loss, while a low HAAD

represents a disease that is severe on average, causing early death or a long period of severe

disability. It is also possible to estimate the distribution of HAAD across individuals with a health

condition. FairChoices shows for each intervention an average HAAD value of the conditions

that are affected by respective interventions that have health effects. Additionally, a plot shows

HAAD values for around 290 conditions (Johansson KA et al 2020).

Time dependence

Moderate level of urgency. Treatment outcomes may be affected by some days of delay.

Population in need of interventions

Treated population: All individuals (prevalent cases) of Schistosomiasis the age group of 0 to

99 years and gender are eligible to receive the intervention. The treated fraction is assumed to

100% for this intervention.

Affected population: The affected population includes those with Schistosomiasis in the age-

group of 0 to 99 years, both genders. The affected fraction by this intervention is assumed to

be 100%.

Disease states addressed

This intervention targets Schistosomiasis infection.

Preventive chemotherapy for schistosomiasis (DCP4 ID: NTD02-01) Cluster: Neglected Tropical Diseases

FairChoicesDCP Analytic Tool

Intervention effect and safety

Table 1: Effect and safety of preventive chemotherapy for Schistosomiasis

| Effect of intervention | on | Certainty of evidence |
|------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| Prevalence | 0.39 reduction in prevalence of Schistosomiasis (assumed) | See appendix |

Model assumptions

Table 2: Summary of model parameters and values used in FairChoices – DCP Analytical Tool

| Category | Model parameter | Notes | | |
|----------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Intervention | Preventive chemotherapy for Schistosomiasis | | | |
| Cost calculation | | | | |
| Treated population | Based on prevalence of Schistosomiasis | Global Burden of disease study 2019 | | |
| Gender | Both | | | |
| Age | 0 to 99 years | | | |
| Treated fraction | 1 | | | |
| Effect calculation | | | | |
| Affected Population | Those with condition | | | |
| Affected gender | Both | | | |
| Affected fraction age | 0 to 99 years | | | |
| Affected fraction | 1 | | | |
| Comparison | placebo or other care | | | |
| Prevalence Reduction (RRR) | 0.39 | | | |

Intervention Cost

The total unit cost for providing preventive chemotherapy for schistosomiasis per person is estimated to be USD 1.71 (Year: 2014). Based on the cost per person in a specified population of community-based delivery of Praziquantel in Cote d'Ivoire (Nathan C Lo BS et al. 2015).

Preventive chemotherapy for schistosomiasis (DCP4 ID: NTD02-01) Cluster: Neglected Tropical Diseases **FairChoices**DCP Analytic Tool

References

WHO 2021: World Health Organization. Health topics-Schistosomiasis. Available at https://www.who.int/health-topics/schistosomiasis#tab=tab 1 (accessed on 2 Dec 2021)

Johansson KA et al 2020: Johansson KA, Coates MM, Økland JM, Tsuchiya A, Bukhman G, Norheim OF, Haaland Ø. Health by disease categories. Distributional Cost-Effectiveness Analysis: Quantifying Health Equity Impacts and Trade-Offs. 2020 Sep 30:105.

Lo NC, Bogoch II, Blackburn BG, Raso G, N'Goran EK, Coulibaly JT, Becker SL, Abrams HB, Utzinger J, Andrews JR. Comparison of community-wide, integrated mass drug administration strategies for schistosomiasis and soil-transmitted helminthiasis: a cost-effectiveness modelling study. Lancet Glob Health. 2015 Oct;3(10):e629-38. doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(15)00047-9. PMID: 26385302

Appendix

Literature Review for effectiveness & safety

This literature search is an example of a level 1 search of literature for preventive chemotherapy of Schistosomiasis.

Level 1: intervention inputs taken from DCP3 or generated in an ad hoc manner (e.g., quick google search found one study of cervical cancer screening cost-effectiveness that was used to create an effectiveness parameter for that intervention).

Level of evidence of efficacy studies:

- 1. low (expert opinions, case series, reports, low-quality case control studies)
- 2. moderate (high quality case control studies, low quality cohort studies)
- 3. high (high quality cohort studies, individual RCTs)
- 4. very high (multiple RCTs, meta-analysis, systematic review, clinical practice guidelines)