

Green Contingent Convertible Bonds – How “Green” are they?

Research Group for Natural Resource Law, Environmental Law, and Development Law

University of Bergen

June 10, 2022

Eyolf Aarø



About me

- ▶ Eyolf Aarø
- ▶ Fifth year law student at UiB
- ▶ LL.M. from UC Hastings College of the Law, San Francisco
- ▶ Master thesis within green banking and finance:
 - ▶ “Don’t Let the Green Grass Fool You - Green Contingent Convertible Bonds and their Role in the Pursuit of a Sustainable Economy”
- ▶ August 2022: Associate at Thommessen’s Banking and Finance Team

Agenda

1. Green/Sustainable Finance
2. Primer on Capital Adequacy Regulation and AT1 Capital
3. The Paper: “Don’t Let the Green Grass Fool You”
4. Inadequate Regulation of Green Financial Instruments
5. Green CoCos’ (In)compatibility with AT1 Eligibility Criteria
6. EBA’s Take on Green AT1 Instruments
7. Proposal: Green Ring-Fencing
8. Q&A / Discussion



Green Finance: An Overview

- ▶ Definition
 - ▶ The EU Taxonomy Regulation
- ▶ Importance: Paris Agreement and Glasgow Pact
- ▶ The (huge) “green financial gap”
- ▶ Green financial instruments
 - ▶ The (proposed) EU Green Bond Standard

Capital Adequacy Regulation

- ▶ **Capital adequacy** is the statutory minimum reserves of capital which a bank or other financial institution must have available
 - ▶ Capital Adequacy Ratio = $\text{Capital (Tier 1 + Tier 2)} / \text{risk weighted assets}$
- ▶ Background: The 2008 financial crisis; the Basel Accords
- ▶ Main EU Legal Acts: CRR/CRD
- ▶ Norwegian Adaptations:
 - ▶ Finansforetaksloven
 - ▶ Forskrift om beregning av ansvarlig kapital for banker, kredittforetak, finansieringsforetak, pensjonsforetak, oppgjørssentraler og verdipapirforetak
 - ▶ CRR/CRD IV-forskriften

Regulatory Capital; AT1

- ▶ **Tier 1** capital = Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) and Additional Tier 1 (AT1).
- ▶ **AT1** = capital that meet the requirements in CRR/CRD (particularly Articles 51–55, supplemented by Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) No 241/2014 (the regulatory technical standards (RTS) on own funds).
- ▶ Importantly: **Contingent Convertible Bonds (“CoCos”)** can meet these requirements
- ▶ Some features of CoCos:
 - ▶ Hybrid of debt and equity
 - ▶ Loss absorption and trigger mechanisms
 - ▶ Perpetual
- ▶ So: *Can a CoCo be “green”?*

“Don’t Let the Green Grass Fool You”

- ▶ Core Argument: Labeling CoCos as green is incompatible with the regulatory function CoCos (are meant to) serve.
- ▶ Proposed solution: Creating green bank entities - a “green ring-fence”

Consequences of Inadequate Regulation

- ▶ Current and proposed regulation: e.g., CBS, EuGB Regulation
- ▶ Allows for:
 - ▶ Vague and flexible issuer commitments to sustainability
 - ▶ “intention”
 - ▶ “no assurance”
 - ▶ “substantially”
 - ▶ Lack of additionality
 - ▶ The issue of refinancing



Green CoCos' Compatibility with AT1 Eligibility Criteria

- ▶ Are green CoCos not only problematic, but straight out incompatible with the CRR/CRD framework?
- ▶ Criteria in Article 52(1)(g):
 - ▶ “... the provisions governing [AT1 instruments] include no incentive for the institution to redeem them”
- ▶ What is an “incentive to redeem”?
 - ▶ Links between the performance of the notes and underlying (green) assets? → Yes
 - ▶ Fear of reputational harm for the issuer?
 - ▶ Wording and context
 - ▶ Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) No 241/2014 Article 20(2)(f): “marketing of the instrument in a way which suggests to investors that the instrument will be called.”
 - ▶ The broader definition in Article 20(2)

EBA's Take on “Green” AT1 Instruments

- ▶ *EBA REPORT ON THE MONITORING OF ADDITIONAL TIER 1 (AT1) INSTRUMENTS OF EUROPEAN UNION (EU) INSTITUTIONS - UPDATE*
- ▶ In summary, the EBA accepts the concept of green AT1 instruments
 - ▶ The EBA insists on forcing the square peg through the round hole.
- ▶ The EBA guidelines underline, rather than counter, the problems with greening regulatory capital instruments that I've highlighted.
 - ▶ In other words: EBA does not view the green label as incompatible with CRR.
- ▶ → The argument stands: **Capital instruments are unsuitable as climate change tools due to their regulatory purposes.**

Where is the Market Going?

IFR

BONDS

LOANS

STRUCTURED FINANCE

RATES

FX

EQUITIES

ECONOMICS

P&M

BONDS

De Volks revs up green AT1

07 Jun 18:07 | 2 min read | EMEA | Julian Lewis

De Volksbank is accelerating the glacial take-up of ESG structures in deeply subordinated bank capital with investor calls today for only the second green Additional Tier 1 capital offering. As much as two years after Spain's BBVA broke new ground with [the first green AT1](#), the state-owned Dutch lender is marketing a €300m follow-up in the format.

The no-grow non-call seven perpetual is set for launch later this week. *ING, Goldman Sachs, Morgan Stanley, Societe Generale and UBS* are joint lead managers.

Proposal: Green Ring-Fencing

- ▶ What is ring-fencing?
- ▶ Proposal for a green structure
- ▶ Viable, or too naïve of a solution?



A Broader Perspective: Greening the Financial Sector

- ▶ What is being done on the EU level?
- ▶ Prudential regulation does have a role to play
- ▶ What can be done? Technical proposals to amend/compliment prudential frameworks:
 - ▶ Climate-risk adjusted capital requirements (GSF/BPF)
 - ▶ Climate related stress tests
 - ▶ Align liquidity requirements with green objectives (LCR and NSFR)
 - ▶ +++ (*Inter alia*, CCyB, sectoral leverage ratio, credit ceiling/floor, outright bans, SIFI designations)
- ▶ Anticipated 2025 EBA report



Thank You!

Questions or Comments?

eyolf.aaro@student.uib.no