

# Diverse Fixed-Parameter Tractability

Julien Baste<sup>1</sup>, Mike Fellows<sup>2</sup>, Lars Jaffke<sup>2</sup>, Tomáš Masařík<sup>3,4</sup>, Mateus de Oliveira Oliveira<sup>2</sup>, **G Philip**<sup>5</sup>, and Frances Rosamond<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Ulm University, Ulm, Germany*

<sup>2</sup> *University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway*

<sup>3</sup> *University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland*

<sup>4</sup> *Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic*

<sup>5</sup> *Chennai Mathematical Institute, Chennai, India*

Manuscript on arXiv: *Diversity in Combinatorial Optimization*

Parameterized Complexity and Practical Computing Workshop

Bergen

August 09, 2019

# The Diverse X Paradigm

## Example: Diverse Vertex Cover

- ▶ Input: Graph  $G$ , integers  $k, r, d$
- ▶ Parameter:  $(k, r)$
- ▶ Question: Does  $G$  have a list  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_r\}$  of vertex covers:
  - ▶ Each  $S_i$  is of size at most  $k$ , and
  - ▶ Some specified **diversity measure** of the set is **at least**  $d$ 
    - ▶ E.g: The sum of pairwise Hamming distances of the  $S_i$ s is at least  $d$
    - ▶ The **Hamming distance** of sets  $X, Y$  is  $|X \setminus Y| + |Y \setminus X|$

# The Diverse X Paradigm

## Motivation

- ▶ Combinatorial formulations ignore lots of *side information*
  - ▶ Domain knowledge, trade secrets, ...
    - ▶ Floor plans, Galle (1989)
    - ▶ Scheduling, Weihe (via Mike)
  - ▶ Finding one best solution may not help
  - ▶ Given many good quality solutions, an expert can choose
- ▶ Finding *all* solutions of good quality may be too much
  - ▶ Find a sufficiently *diverse* set of good quality solutions
- ▶ Bootstrapping heuristics

# Diverse Vertex Cover

Diversity Measure: Sum of Pairwise Hamming Distances

- ▶ Input: Graph  $G$ , integers  $k, r, d$
- ▶ Parameter:  $(k, r)$
- ▶ Question: Does  $G$  have a list  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_r\}$  of vertex covers:
  - ▶ Each  $S_i$  is of size at most  $k$ , and
  - ▶ The sum of pairwise Hamming distances of the  $S_i$ s is at least  $d$ 
    - ▶ The Hamming distance of sets  $X, Y$  is  $|X \setminus Y| + |Y \setminus X|$

## Theorem

*Diverse Vertex Cover is FPT.*

# A simple FPT Algorithm for Diverse Vertex Cover

Diversity Measure: Sum of Pairwise Hamming Distances

► Observations:

1. Every vertex cover in the list  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_r\}$  contains a *minimal* vertex cover of size at most  $k$ .

# A simple FPT Algorithm for Diverse Vertex Cover

Diversity Measure: Sum of Pairwise Hamming Distances

► Observations:

1. Every vertex cover in the list  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_r\}$  contains a *minimal* vertex cover of size at most  $k$ .
2. All such minimal vertex covers can be enumerated in time  $\mathcal{O}^*(2^k)$ 
  - The leaves of the simple branching tree.

# A simple FPT Algorithm for Diverse Vertex Cover

Diversity Measure: Sum of Pairwise Hamming Distances

## ► Observations:

1. Every vertex cover in the list  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_r\}$  contains a *minimal* vertex cover of size at most  $k$ .
2. All such minimal vertex covers can be enumerated in time  $\mathcal{O}^*(2^k)$ 
  - The leaves of the simple branching tree.
3. The diversity  $d$  is never more than  $k \cdot r^2$ 
  - $\binom{r}{2}$  pairs of vertex covers
  - Each pair has diversity at most  $2k$

# A simple FPT Algorithm for Diverse Vertex Cover

1. If  $d > k \cdot r^2$  then return **NO**



# A simple FPT Algorithm for Diverse Vertex Cover

1. If  $d > k \cdot r^2$  then return **NO**
2. Enumerate all minimal vertex covers of  $G$  of size at most  $k$ .
  - ▶ Let this set be MinVC

# A simple FPT Algorithm for Diverse Vertex Cover

1. If  $d > k \cdot r^2$  then return **NO**
2. Enumerate all minimal vertex covers of  $G$  of size at most  $k$ .
  - ▶ Let this set be MinVC
3. Guess  $S'_1 \subseteq S_1, S'_2 \subseteq S_2, \dots, S'_r \subseteq S_r$  from MinVC
  - ▶ Each  $S'_i$  is an element of MinVC
  - ▶ Possibly with repetition
  - ▶ The list  $\{S'_1, S'_2, \dots, S'_r\}$  satisfies two of the three requirements for a solution
    - ▶ TODO: Make the sum of pairwise Hamming distances to be at least  $d$

# A simple FPT Algorithm for Diverse Vertex Cover

1. If  $d > k \cdot r^2$  then return **NO**
2. Enumerate all minimal vertex covers of  $G$  of size at most  $k$ .
  - ▶ Let this set be MinVC
3. Guess  $S'_1 \subseteq S_1, S'_2 \subseteq S_2, \dots, S'_r \subseteq S_r$  from MinVC
4. Compute the sum of pairwise Hamming distances of  $\{S'_1, S'_2, \dots, S'_r\}$ 
  - ▶ If this is at least  $d$ , stop and return this list

# A simple FPT Algorithm for Diverse Vertex Cover

1. If  $d > k \cdot r^2$  then return **NO**
2. Enumerate all minimal vertex covers of  $G$  of size at most  $k$ .
  - ▶ Let this set be MinVC
3. Guess  $S'_1 \subseteq S_1, S'_2 \subseteq S_2, \dots, S'_r \subseteq S_r$  from MinVC
4. Compute the sum of pairwise Hamming distances of  $\{S'_1, S'_2, \dots, S'_r\}$ 
  - ▶ If this is at least  $d$ , stop and return this list
5. For each vertex  $v$  in  $\bigcup_{i \in [r]} S'_i$ 
  - ▶ Guess the remaining sets to which  $v$  belongs
  - ▶ Add  $v$  to these sets
  - ▶ Go to step 4.

# A simple FPT Algorithm for Diverse Vertex Cover

1. If  $d > k \cdot r^2$  then return **NO**
2. Enumerate all minimal vertex covers of  $G$  of size at most  $k$ .
  - ▶ Let this set be  $\text{MinVC}$
3. Guess  $S'_1 \subseteq S_1, S'_2 \subseteq S_2, \dots, S'_r \subseteq S_r$  from  $\text{MinVC}$
4. Compute the sum of pairwise Hamming distances of  $\{S'_1, S'_2, \dots, S'_r\}$ 
  - ▶ If this is at least  $d$ , stop and return this list
5. For each vertex  $v$  in  $\bigcup_{i \in [r]} S'_i$ 
  - ▶ Guess the remaining sets to which  $v$  belongs
  - ▶ Add  $v$  to these sets
  - ▶ Go to step 4.
6. For each vertex  $v \notin \bigcup_{i \in [r]} S'_i$ 
  - ▶ Guess the sets to which  $v$  belongs
  - ▶ Add  $v$  to these sets.
  - ▶ Go to step 4.

# A simple FPT Algorithm for Diverse Vertex Cover

1. If  $d > k \cdot r^2$  then return **NO**
2. Enumerate all minimal vertex covers of  $G$  of size at most  $k$ .
  - ▶ Let this set be  $\text{MinVC}$
3. Guess  $S'_1 \subseteq S_1, S'_2 \subseteq S_2, \dots, S'_r \subseteq S_r$  from  $\text{MinVC}$
4. Compute the sum of pairwise Hamming distances of  $\{S'_1, S'_2, \dots, S'_r\}$ 
  - ▶ If this is at least  $d$ , stop and return this list
5. For each vertex  $v$  in  $\bigcup_{i \in [r]} S'_i$ 
  - ▶ Guess the remaining sets to which  $v$  belongs
  - ▶ Add  $v$  to these sets
  - ▶ Go to step 4.
6. For each vertex  $v \notin \bigcup_{i \in [r]} S'_i$ 
  - ▶ Guess the sets to which  $v$  belongs
  - ▶ Add  $v$  to these sets.
  - ▶ Go to step 4.
7. Return **NO**

# A simple FPT Algorithm for Diverse Vertex Cover

## Analysis

- ▶ Correctness
  - ▶ Exhaustive branching

# A simple FPT Algorithm for Diverse Vertex Cover

## Analysis

- ▶ Running time
  1. Enumerate all minimal vertex covers MinVC of  $G$  of size at most  $k$ .
    - ▶  $\mathcal{O}^*(2^k)$  time



# A simple FPT Algorithm for Diverse Vertex Cover

## Analysis

### ▶ Running time

1. Enumerate all minimal vertex covers MinVC of  $G$  of size at most  $k$ .
  - ▶  $\mathcal{O}^*(2^k)$  time
2. Guess  $S'_1 \subseteq S_1, S'_2 \subseteq S_2, \dots, S'_r \subseteq S_r$  from MinVC
  - ▶  $\mathcal{O}^*(2^{k \cdot r})$  time

# A simple FPT Algorithm for Diverse Vertex Cover

## Analysis

- ▶ Running time
  1. Enumerate all minimal vertex covers  $\text{MinVC}$  of  $G$  of size at most  $k$ .
    - ▶  $\mathcal{O}^*(2^k)$  time
  2. Guess  $S'_1 \subseteq S_1, S'_2 \subseteq S_2, \dots, S'_r \subseteq S_r$  from  $\text{MinVC}$ 
    - ▶  $\mathcal{O}^*(2^{k \cdot r})$  time
  3. If the sum of pairwise Hamming distances of  $\{S'_1, S'_2, \dots, S'_r\}$  is at least  $d$ , stop and return this list
    - ▶ Polynomial time

# A simple FPT Algorithm for Diverse Vertex Cover

## Analysis

### ▶ Running time

1. Enumerate all minimal vertex covers  $\text{MinVC}$  of  $G$  of size at most  $k$ .
  - ▶  $\mathcal{O}^*(2^k)$  time
2. Guess  $S'_1 \subseteq S_1, S'_2 \subseteq S_2, \dots, S'_r \subseteq S_r$  from  $\text{MinVC}$ 
  - ▶  $\mathcal{O}^*(2^{k \cdot r})$  time
3. If the sum of pairwise Hamming distances of  $\{S'_1, S'_2, \dots, S'_r\}$  is at least  $d$ , stop and return this list
  - ▶ Polynomial time
4. For each vertex  $v$  in  $\bigcup_{i \in [r]} S'_i$ , guess the remaining sets to which  $v$  belongs and add  $v$  to these sets
  - ▶  $\mathcal{O}^*(2^r)$  time per vertex.
  - ▶ Total:  $\mathcal{O}^*(2^{k \cdot r^2})$  time

# A simple FPT Algorithm for Diverse Vertex Cover

## Analysis

### ▶ Running time

1. Enumerate all minimal vertex covers  $\text{MinVC}$  of  $G$  of size at most  $k$ .
  - ▶  $\mathcal{O}^*(2^k)$  time
2. Guess  $S'_1 \subseteq S_1, S'_2 \subseteq S_2, \dots, S'_r \subseteq S_r$  from  $\text{MinVC}$ 
  - ▶  $\mathcal{O}^*(2^{k \cdot r})$  time
3. If the sum of pairwise Hamming distances of  $\{S'_1, S'_2, \dots, S'_r\}$  is at least  $d$ , stop and return this list
  - ▶ Polynomial time
4. For each vertex  $v$  in  $\bigcup_{i \in [r]} S'_i$ , guess the remaining sets to which  $v$  belongs and add  $v$  to these sets
  - ▶  $\mathcal{O}^*(2^r)$  time per vertex.
  - ▶ Total:  $\mathcal{O}^*(2^{k \cdot r^2})$  time
5. For each vertex  $v \notin \bigcup_{i \in [r]} S'_i$ , guess the sets to which  $v$  belongs and add  $v$  to these sets.
  - ▶  $\mathcal{O}^*(2^r)$  time per vertex.
  - ▶ The diversity budget  $d$  drops by at least  $r$  per vertex
  - ▶ Depth of branching:  $\leq \frac{d}{r}$
  - ▶ Total time:  $\mathcal{O}^*(2^{r \cdot \frac{d}{r}}) = \mathcal{O}^*(2^d) = \mathcal{O}^*(2^{k \cdot r^2})$

# A simple FPT Algorithm for Diverse Vertex Cover

## Theorem

*Diverse Vertex Cover is FPT and can be solved in  $\mathcal{O}^*(2^{2kr^2+kr})$  time.*

# A simple FPT Algorithm for Diverse Vertex Cover

## Theorem

*Diverse Vertex Cover is FPT and can be solved in  $\mathcal{O}^*(2^{2kr^2+kr})$  time.*

## Next steps

1. Can we solve Diverse Vertex Cover faster than this?

# A simple FPT Algorithm for Diverse Vertex Cover

## Theorem

*Diverse Vertex Cover is FPT and can be solved in  $\mathcal{O}^*(2^{2kr^2+kr})$  time.*

## Next steps

1. Can we solve Diverse Vertex Cover faster than this?
  - ▶ Yes. Diverse Vertex Cover can be solved in  $\mathcal{O}^*(2^{2kr+2r \log k})$  time.

# A simple FPT Algorithm for Diverse Vertex Cover

## Theorem

*Diverse Vertex Cover is FPT and can be solved in  $\mathcal{O}^*(2^{2kr^2+kr})$  time.*

## Next steps

1. Diverse Vertex Cover can be solved in  $\mathcal{O}^*(2^{2kr+2r \log k})$  time.
2. Does Diverse Vertex Cover have a polynomial kernel?



# A simple FPT Algorithm for Diverse Vertex Cover

## Theorem

*Diverse Vertex Cover is FPT and can be solved in  $\mathcal{O}^*(2^{2kr^2+kr})$  time.*

## Next steps

1. Diverse Vertex Cover can be solved in  $\mathcal{O}^*(2^{2kr+2r \log k})$  time.
2. Does Diverse Vertex Cover have a polynomial kernel?
  - ▶ Yes, on  $\mathcal{O}(k(k+r))$  vertices

# A simple FPT Algorithm for Diverse Vertex Cover

## Theorem

*Diverse Vertex Cover is FPT and can be solved in  $\mathcal{O}^*(2^{2kr^2+kr})$  time.*

## Next steps

1. Diverse Vertex Cover can be solved in  $\mathcal{O}^*(2^{2kr+2r \log k})$  time.
2. Diverse Vertex Cover has a kernel on  $\mathcal{O}(k(k+r))$  vertices
3. Can we solve diverse variants of other FPT problems in FPT time?
  - ▶ E.g: Feedback Vertex Set, (Your favourite problem goes here), ...

# A simple FPT Algorithm for Diverse Vertex Cover

## Theorem

*Diverse Vertex Cover is FPT and can be solved in  $\mathcal{O}^*(2^{2kr^2+kr})$  time.*

## Next steps

1. Diverse Vertex Cover can be solved in  $\mathcal{O}^*(2^{2kr+2r \log k})$  time.
2. Diverse Vertex Cover has a kernel on  $\mathcal{O}(k(k+r))$  vertices
3. Can we solve diverse variants of other FPT problems in FPT time?
  - ▶ E.g: Feedback Vertex Set, (Your favourite problem goes here), ...
    - ▶ Yes, we can!
    - ▶ If the problem is FPT parameterized by treewidth

# A Polynomial Kernel for Diverse Vertex Cover

- ▶ Input: Graph  $G$ , integers  $k, r, d$
- ▶ Parameter:  $(k, r)$
- ▶ Question: Does  $G$  have a list  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_r\}$  of vertex covers:
  - ▶ Each  $S_i$  is of size at most  $k$ , and
  - ▶ The sum of pairwise Hamming distances of the  $S_i$ s is at least  $d$

# A Polynomial Kernel for Diverse Vertex Cover

- ▶ Input: Graph  $G$ , integers  $k, r, d$
- ▶ Parameter:  $(k, r)$
- ▶ Question: Does  $G$  have a list  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_r\}$  of vertex covers:
  - ▶ Each  $S_i$  is of size at most  $k$ , and
  - ▶ The sum of pairwise Hamming distances of the  $S_i$ s is at least  $d$

## Reduction Rule

If a vertex  $v$  has degree more than  $k$  then delete  $v$  and decrement  $k$ .

- ▶ Such a vertex  $v$  is in *every* solution of size at most  $k$ 
  - ▶ It does *not* contribute to the diversity!
  - ▶ So: safe to add to every solution

# A Polynomial Kernel for Diverse Vertex Cover

- ▶ Input: Graph  $G$ , integers  $k, r, d$
- ▶ Parameter:  $(k, r)$
- ▶ Question: Does  $G$  have a list  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_r\}$  of vertex covers:
  - ▶ Each  $S_i$  is of size at most  $k$ , and
  - ▶ The sum of pairwise Hamming distances of the  $S_i$ s is at least  $d$

## Reduction Rule

If a vertex  $v$  has degree more than  $k$  then delete  $v$  and decrement  $k$ .

- ▶ If there are isolated vertices in the reduced instance:
  - ▶ Keep at most  $kr$  of them and delete the rest
  - ▶ Let  $(G', k')$  be the resulting instance

# A Polynomial Kernel for Diverse Vertex Cover

- ▶ Input: Graph  $G$ , integers  $k, r, d$
- ▶ Parameter:  $(k, r)$
- ▶ Question: Does  $G$  have a list  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_r\}$  of vertex covers:
  - ▶ Each  $S_i$  is of size at most  $k$ , and
  - ▶ The sum of pairwise Hamming distances of the  $S_i$ s is at least  $d$

## Reduction Rule

If a vertex  $v$  has degree more than  $k$  then delete  $v$  and decrement  $k$ .

- ▶ If there are isolated vertices in the reduced instance:
  - ▶ Keep at most  $kr$  of them and delete the rest
  - ▶ Let  $(G', k')$  be the resulting instance
- ▶ If  $G'$  has more than  $k(k+1) + kr = k(k+r+1)$  vertices then return a **NO** instance
  - ▶  $G'$  has more than  $k(k+1)$  vertices of positive degree
- ▶ Else: return  $(G', k')$

# A Polynomial Kernel for Diverse Vertex Cover

- ▶ Input: Graph  $G$ , integers  $k, r, d$
- ▶ Parameter:  $(k, r)$
- ▶ Question: Does  $G$  have a list  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_r\}$  of vertex covers:
  - ▶ Each  $S_i$  is of size at most  $k$ , and
  - ▶ The sum of pairwise Hamming distances of the  $S_i$ s is at least  $d$

## Theorem

*Diverse Vertex Cover has a kernel on  $k(k + r + 1)$  vertices.*

- ▶ The same idea works for other problems with a "Buss-style" kernel.
  - ▶ Diverse Point Line Cover : on  $\mathcal{O}(k(k + r))$  points.
  - ▶ Diverse  $d$ -Hitting Set, for fixed  $d$  : on  $\mathcal{O}(k^d + kr)$  elements.
  - ▶ Diverse Feedback Arc Set in Tournaments : on  $\mathcal{O}(k(k + r))$  vertices.
  - ▶ ...



# An FPT Algorithm for Diverse Feedback Vertex Set

- ▶ Input: Graph  $G$ , integers  $k, r, d$
- ▶ Parameter:  $(k, r)$
- ▶ Question: Does  $G$  have a list  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_r\}$  of feedback vertex sets:
  - ▶ Each  $S_i$  is of size at most  $k$ , and
  - ▶ The sum of pairwise Hamming distances of the  $S_i$ s is at least  $d$

# An FPT Algorithm for Diverse Feedback Vertex Set

## Outline of the Algorithm

1. Compute *one* feedback vertex set  $S$  of  $G$ , of size at most  $k$ .
  - ▶ If there is no such FVS then return **NO**
  - ▶ Can be done in  $\mathcal{O}^*(3.619^k)$  time
2. Construct a *nice tree decomposition* of  $G$ , of width at most  $k + 1$ 
  - ▶ Can be done in polynomial time
  - ▶ For a node  $t$  of the decomposition, let:
    - ▶  $X_t$  be the bag at  $t$
    - ▶  $G_t$  be the subgraph "rooted" at  $t$
3. For a node  $t$ , for each tuple  $(I_1, I_2, \dots, I_r ; i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r)$  where
  - ▶  $I_j$  is the intersection of the (unknown) FVS  $S_j$  with  $X_t$
  - ▶  $i_j$  is the size of the intersection of  $S_j$  with  $V(G_t)$store the largest sum of pairwise Hamming distances of any solution  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_r\}$  which respects the tuple.

# An FPT Algorithm for Diverse Feedback Vertex Set

## Outline of the Algorithm

1. Compute *one* feedback vertex set  $S$  of  $G$ , of size at most  $k$ .
  - ▶ If there is no such FVS then return **NO**
  - ▶ Can be done in  $\mathcal{O}^*(3.619^k)$  time
2. Construct a *nice tree decomposition* of  $G$ , of width at most  $k + 1$ .
  - ▶ Can be done in polynomial time
  - ▶ For a node  $t$  of the decomposition, let:
    - ▶  $X_t$  be the bag at  $t$
    - ▶  $G_t$  be the subgraph "rooted" at  $t$
3. For a node  $t$ , for each tuple  $(I_1, I_2, \dots, I_r ; i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r)$  where
  - ▶  $I_j$  is the intersection of the (unknown) FVS  $S_j$  with  $X_t$
  - ▶  $i_j$  is the size of the intersection of  $S_j$  with  $V(G_t)$store the largest sum of pairwise Hamming distances of any solution  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_r\}$  which respects the tuple.

## Theorem

*Diverse Feedback Vertex Set can be solved in  $\mathcal{O}^*(4.619^k + 2^{2kr+2r \log k})$  time.*

# Summary

- ▶ The diverse version of (essentially) every problem which is
  - ▶ FPT when parameterized by treewidth, or
  - ▶ FPT by the solution size, and the treewidth can be upper-bounded by the solution size
- ▶ The diverse version of (essentially) every problem which has a "Buss-style" polynomial kernel, has a polynomial kernel.

# Summary

- ▶ The diverse version of (essentially) every problem which is
  - ▶ FPT when parameterized by treewidth, or
  - ▶ FPT by the solution size, and the treewidth can be upper-bounded by the solution size
- ▶ The diverse version of (essentially) every problem which has a "Buss-style" polynomial kernel, has a polynomial kernel.

## Open problems

- ▶ Other diversity measures
- ▶ Diverse versions of problems not (known to be) FPT parameterized by treewidth
- ▶ Hardness results
  - ▶ FPT problems whose diverse versions are not FPT?

# Summary

- ▶ The diverse version of (essentially) every problem which is
  - ▶ FPT when parameterized by treewidth, or
  - ▶ FPT by the solution size, and the treewidth can be upper-bounded by the solution size
- ▶ The diverse version of (essentially) every problem which has a "Buss-style" polynomial kernel, has a polynomial kernel.

## Open problems

- ▶ Other diversity measures
- ▶ Diverse versions of problems not (known to be) FPT parameterized by treewidth
- ▶ Hardness results
  - ▶ FPT problems whose diverse versions are not FPT?

Thank You!